

Contents

- Probabilistic graphical models overview
- Bayesian network overview
- Probabilistic inference in Bayesian networks
 - Exact solutions: Enumeration & Variable elimination
 Approximate approaches: Sampling & MCMC
- Learning Bayesian networks
 - Learning parameters (given model structure)
 - No missing data: MLE
 - Missing data: EM & MCMC
 - Learning graph structure (model selection)
- Bayesian network classifiers
- Tree augmented NB

Probabilistic graphical models

- Graphical models are a marriage between probability theory and graph theory (Michael Jordan, 1998)
- Graphical models use conditional independence assumptions for efficient representation, inference and learning of joint distributions
 - a compact representation of joint probability distributions;
 - a collection of conditional independence assumptions
- Graphs
 - nodes: random variables (probabilistic distribution over a fixed alphabet)
 - edges (arcs), or lack of edges: conditional independence
 - assumption

Classification of probabilistic graphical models

	Linear	Branching	Application	
Directed	Markov Chain (HMM)	Bayesian network (BN)	Artificial Intelligence (AI) Statistics	
Undirected	Linear chain conditional random field (CRF)	Markov network (MN)	Physics (Ising model) Image/Vision	

Both directed and undirected arcs: chain graphs



















Inference with missing data

 The probability of fraud, but the gender of the card holder is unknown

$$p(f \mid a, g, j) = \frac{\sum_{s} (f) \cdot p(g \mid f) \cdot p(j \mid f, a, s')}{\sum_{f \mid s'} p(f') \cdot p(g \mid f') \cdot p(j \mid f', a, s')}$$

Don't see p(s'):p(s = male) == p(s = female)} p(f = yes | a = 30 - 50, g = yes, j = yes) =<u>0.00001 × 0.2 × (0.05 + 0.05)</u> = 0.004

(marginalizing over variable s)



Inference by variable elimination (VE algorithm)

Consider a query that needs to compute the joint probability of $X=(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$, where x_i represents a random variable (i.e., node)

$$P(X \mid e) = \sum_{x_k} \cdots \sum_{x_2} \sum_{x_1} \prod_i P(x_i \mid pa_i)$$

where *e* represents a subset of variables outside *X*, pa_i represents the set of parent variables of x_i

The computation can be accelerated by a Dynamic Programming algorithm, which Iteratively

- move all irrelevant terms outside of innermost sum
- perform innermost sum, getting a new term
 insert the new term into the product



















Variable elimination

- Can be exponential for arbitrary graph;
- Hard to determine the order of variables to be eliminated
 - Find the optimal order is NP-hard
- In practice, it may be quite efficient on sparse graph
- However, hard for inference problems in bioinformatics.

Approximate inference: sampling Suppose you are given values for some subset of the variables (evidences), E, and want to infer values for unknown variables, Z Randomly sample a very large number of instances from BN Generate instances for all variables – start at root variables and move "forward" in a "topological order" of the nodes topological ordering of a directed graph is a linear ordering of its vertices such that for every directed edge uv from vertex u to vertex v, u comes before v in the ordering The always exists a topological order in a DAG. This is much easier to compute than the joint probability Reject the instances inconsistent with E Use the frequency of values for Z in the retained instances to get estimated probabilities

 Accuracy of the results depends on the size of the sample (asymptotically approaches the exact results).





MCMC algorithm

- A random walk through variable space, counting instances during sampling
 - Initialize with a random instance, consistent with evidence variables E
 - At each step, for a *non-evidence* variable, randomly sample its value, based on the other current assigned variables
 - When samples approach infinite, MCMC reaches an accurate estimate of the actual joint distribution

MCMC approaches

- The Metropolis-Hastings (MH) algorithm is the most popular MCMC method; Most practical MCMC algorithms can be interpreted as special cases or extensions of this algorithm
- Gibbs sampling is a MCMC algorithm that generates samples by sampling from conditional distributions (instead of the marginal distribution (motif finding)

Predicting diseases from BN Age Sex Fraud target evidences Jewelry Gas BMI Age Sex Diabetes target evidences Gene1) (Gene2) Protein1 BMI: body mass inde

Learning Bayesian networks: four cases

- Known graph—learn parameters
 - Complete data (ML, MAP)
 - Incomplete data (EM)
- Unknown graph—learn graph and parameters
 Complete data; optimization problem (search in space of graphs)
 - Incomplete data; structural EM



Fraud Age Sex	Fraud	Age	Sex	Gas	Jewelry
Gas Jewelry	no	35	М	no	no
	no	22	F	no	yes
Adding pseudo-count	no	55	М	no	no
1 for each case	no	42	М	no	no
	no	51	F	no	no
P(j=yes t=yes,a=",s=")=0.6, P(j=yes t=no,a=<30,s=male)=0.5	no	32	F	no	yes
(j=yes f=no,a=30-	no	28	F	no	no
(j=yes f=no,a=>50,s=male)=0.33	yes	25	М	yes	no
?(j=yes t=no,a=<30,s=temale)=0.5)	yes	53	М	yes	yes
P(j=yes f=no,a=30-	yes	24	F	yes	yes



Learning parameters: missing data

- Gibbs sampling (MCMC) algorithm
 - Randomly choose an initial state for each of the variables without observations, forming the initial configuration
 - Pick a random variable x_i, compute its probability distribution given the states of the other n-1 variables
 - Sample a state of variable x_i, forming a new configuration
 - Iterate the two previous steps, and record all visited configurations
 - Compute the MLE parameters involving the variables
 - with missing data

Learning parameters: missing data

- EM algorithm: finding a local ML
 - Randomly assign parameters to the distribution involving the variables without observations
 - E-step: using BN inference algorithm to obtain the probability distribution of these variables, given the entire network
 - M-step: update model parameters by using MLE based on the frequencies derived from E-step
 - Iterate between E and M steps until the model converges

Learning graph structure

 Constraint-based structure learning algorithms (dependence analysis and search)

- Independence test: P(X,Y)=P(X)*P(Y)
- Structure scoring methods (optimization of a scoring function) (scoring and search)

Find $\hat{\mathbf{G}} = \arg \max_{G} \operatorname{Score}(\mathbf{G})$

Hybrid methods

 Constraint-based methods can be more efficient for large samples; the detection of conditional independencies may be sensitive; and may not assign a direction to every edge

- Score-based approach is generally preferred, esp when
- dealing with small sample size and noisy data.

Constraint-based methods

- Constraint-based methods focus on identifying conditional independence relationships (i.e., Markov conditions) between variable using observed data; conditional independencies are used to constrain the underlying network structure.
- Typically, hypothesis testing procedures, such as the chi-square test and mutual information test, are first used to remove edges from a fully connected undirected graph based on findings of unconditional independence.
- Then directions are added to edges between nodes according to the d-separation (directed separation) criteria.

Grow-shrink method

- Based on the concept of Markov blanket
 - The Markov blanket of a node in a BN consists of its parents, children, and its children's other parents.
- The GS algorithm
 - Starts with a variable X and an empty set S. The growing phase adds variables to S if they are dependent on X, conditional on the variables currently in S. In the shrinking phase, variables that are rendered independent of X, based on the current members of S, are then removed from S.
 - Represent S (together with X) as a fully connected, undirected network.
 - Examining triples of variables using the d-separation criteria (e.g., remove spousal links between two nodes Y and Z by looking for a d-separating set around Y and Z, and give directions to edges if conditioning on a middle node creates a dependency.







Search methods

- Most search methods make successive changes of edge linkages to the network, and employ the local criterion to assess the merit of each change.
- One simple heuristic search algorithm is greedy search
 - may be stuck at local minima; can start from multiple initial points
 - global optimization approaches can apply: simulated annealing, best-first search, etc



 A BN can be used as a classifier that gives the posterior probability distribution of the class node, given attributes X.

$$\begin{split} P(C|x,G) &= \frac{P(C,x|G)}{P(x|G)} \propto P(C,x|G) \\ c^* &= \arg\max_{j} P(c_j,x|G) \end{split}$$



 A NB (Naïve Bayes) classifier can be viewed as a BN classifier with a simple structure









Learning of causal relationships

- Causal Markov condition:
 - Variable a is a direct cause of variable b if and only if there is a direct edge from a to b; then the BN is called a causal graph.
 - Variable a is a cause of variable b (or b is dependent on a) if there exists a *d-connecting* path from a to b given evidence E (a set of variables)
 - A path from a to b is d-connecting if each interior node n in the path is either
 - Linear or diverging and not a member of E; or
 - Converging, and either n or one of its descendants is in E.



Dynamic Bayesian network (DBN)

(Vehicle localization task) A moving car tried to track its current location using the data obtained from a, possibly faulty sensor. The system state can be encoded (very simply) using the: Location – the car's current location, Velocity – the car's current velocity; Weather – the current weather; Failure – the failure status of the sensor; and Obs – the current observation. We have one such set of variables for every time point t. *A joint probability distribution* over all of these sets defines a probability distribution over trajectories of the car. Using this distribution, we want to ask a variety of queries, such as 1) given a sequence of observations about the car, where is it now? 2) where is it likely to be in 10 minutes? 3) did it stop at the red light?



Reasoning if the model is given

- Given a sequence of observations about the car, where is it now?
 - $\mathsf{P}(obs^t | obs^0, \dots, obs^{t\text{-}2}, obs^{t\text{-}1})$
- Where is it likely to be in 10 minutes?
 P(obs^{t+10}|obs⁰,..., obs^{t-2}, obs^{t-1})
- Did it stop at the red light?
 P(Vt|obs⁰,..., obs^{t-2}, obs^{t-1})



Applications of BN and DBN

- Friedman et al. Using Bayesian network to analyze expression data. 2000, JCB, 7:601-620.
- Troyanskaya et al. A Bayesian framework for combining heterogeneous data sources for gene function prediction (in Saccharomyces Cerevisiae). PNAS, 2003, 100: 8348–8353.
- Jansen et al. A Bayesian networks approach for predicting protein-protein interactions from genomic data. Science 2003, 302:449-453
- Friedman et al. Inferring cellular networks using probabilistic graphical models. Science, 2004, 303:799-805
- Sachs et al. Causal protein-signaling networks derived from multi-parameter single-cell data. Science, 2005, 308:523-529
- ...
 - Predicting gene regulatory networks by combining spatial and temporal gene expression data in Arabidopsis root stem cells.
 PNAS, 2017, 114 (36) E7632-E7640







GENIST

- GENIST: gene regulatory network inference from spatiotemporal data algorithm, a DBN-based algorithm capable of integrating transcriptional datasets of different characteristics to reconstruct GRNs.
- "we transcriptionally profiled several stem cell populations and developed a gene regulatory network inference algorithm that combines clustering with dynamic Bayesian network inference."
- Ref: PNAS, 2017, 114 (36) E7632-E7640

Packages

- Scikit-learn: naïve bayes (http://scikitlearn.org/stable/modules/naive_bayes.html)
- bnlearn an R package for Bayesian network learning and inference (<u>http://www.bnlearn.com/)</u>
- GMTK (DGM & DBN) http://melodi.ee.washington.edu/gmtk/
- mlbench
 - machine learning benchmark problems
 - E.g., pima data: PimaIndiansDiabetes2